University of Pennsylvania · Instructor and Course Evaluation Report

SC	OCI5350402, Rec	itation - Quantitative Methods I, Fall, 20	22									Feng, Kai	
Term Fall, 2022 (202230) En		Enrollment	10	Schoo	School		School of Arts & Sciences						
Activity Type REC		Eligible	10	Divisio	on	-	/ -						
Cro	oss Listed Sections	DEMG5350402	Responses	10	Depar	Department Subject		Sociology Sociology					
			Response Rate	100%	Subje								
				Average Ratings				This Instructor Only Worst RatingBest Rating					
	Question and Sca	le	Instructor	Section	Course	-	0	1	2	3	4		
1	Overall quality of Scale: 0 to 4: Poor,	the TA. Fair, Good, Very good, Excellent	3.80	3.80	3.34	-	0% 0	0% 0	10% 1	0% 0	90% 9	10	
2	The TA communic Scale: 0 to 4: Stron Agree, Strongly aga	gly disagree, Disagree, Neither agree nor disag	3.88 ree,	3.88	3.55	-	0% 0	0% 0	0% 0	13% 1	88% 7	8	
3		stimulated my interest. gly disagree, Disagree, Neither agree nor disagree	3.63 ree,	3.63	3.32	-	0% 0	0% 0	13% 1	13% 1	75% 6	8	
4		priately accessible outside of class time. gly disagree, Disagree, Neither agree nor disag ree	4.00 ree,	4.00	3.83	-	0% 0	0% 0	0% 0	0% 0	100% 8	8	
5		to learn in this course. gly disagree, Disagree, Neither agree nor disagree	4.00 ree,	4.00	4.00	-	0% 0	0% 0	0% 0	0% 0	100% 8	8	
6	understanding of	ction was well integrated with and enhanced the lecture material. gly disagree, Disagree, Neither agree nor disag		3.86	3.85	-	0% 0	0% 0	0% 0	14% 1	86% 6	7	

Agree, Strongly agree

SOCI5350402, Recitation - Quantitative Methods I, Fall, 2022

Feng, Kai

Comment Suggestion

Kai was an incredible TA. He was very patient and always accessible to students. He spent a lot of time reviewing questions and going over content. I know I would not have been successful in this course if it wasn't for Kai's dedication to teaching. He is one of the most engaging TAs I've had. I would highly recommend him to TA this or another stats course. He was amazing.

Kai was a phenomenal TA!! He explained the concepts clearly and concisely. I passed the class and understood quantitative methods because of him. He was accessible outside of class and took time to answer questions via email, office hours, and zoom. He was able to make the abstract lessons taught in class understandable in a more digestible way. He taught concepts well which made lessons, homework, and quizzes do- able.

Kai was a great TA. He was very helpful answering questions and was easily accessible. Thanks for a great semester, Kai. Hope you have a nice winter break!

Phenomenal

Kai went above and beyond for the students. He was consistently available outside of class and spent a significant amount of time helping students. I wish he was my TA for the next stats course. Give him a raise!

Kai is a great TA. He is very approachable and patience. His lecture in recitiation helped me consolidate many concepts that I did not fully understood in lectures.



SOCI5350403, Recitation - Quantitative Methods I, Fall, 2022

Feng, Kai

Term	ı	Fall, 2022 (202230)	En	rollment	12	12 School			School of Arts & Sciences							
Activ	vity Type	REC	Eliç	Eligible		12		Division		/ -						
Cross Listed Sections		DEMG5350403		sponses	11		Department		Sociology							
			Response Rate		92%	% Subj		Subject		Sociology						
					Averag	Average Ratings			This Instructor Only Worst RatingBest Rating					Responses		
	Question and Sca	le		Instructor	Section	Cou	rse	-	0	1	2	3	4			
1	Overall quality of a Scale: 0 to 4: Poor,	t he TA. Fair, Good, Very good, Excellent		3.50	3.50	3.34		-	0% 0	0% 0	25% 2	0% 0	75% 6	8		
	The TA communic Scale: 0 to 4: Stron Agree, Strongly agr	gly disagree, Disagree, Neither agree nor dis	sagree,	3.83	3.83	3.55		-	0% 0	0% 0	0% 0	17% 1	83% 5	6		
		stimulated my interest. gly disagree, Disagree, Neither agree nor dis ee	sagree,	3.33	3.33	3.32		-	0% 0	0% 0	17% 1	33 % 2	50% 3	6		
		priately accessible outside of class time. gly disagree, Disagree, Neither agree nor dis ee	sagree,	4.00	4.00	3.83		-	0% 0	0% 0	0% 0	0% 0	100% 6	6		
		to learn in this course. gly disagree, Disagree, Neither agree nor dis ee	sagree,	4.00	4.00	4.00		-	0% 0	0% 0	0% 0	0% 0	100% 6	6		
	understanding of	ction was well integrated with and enhand the lecture material. gly disagree, Disagree, Neither agree nor dis ree	_	3.83	3.83	3.85		-	0% 0	0% 0	0% 0	17% 1	83 % 5	6		



SOCI5350403, Recitation - Quantitative Methods I, Fall, 2022

Feng, Kai

Comment Suggestion

Kai is so wonderful. He helped me very much throughout the course and very flexible making appointments for questions.



Print date: September 6, 2023

SOCI2010910, Social Statistics, Summer, 2023 Feng, Kai													
Term	Summer, 2023 (202320)	Enrollment	14	Schoo	ol	School	School of Arts & Sciences						
Activity Type	LEC	Eligible	14	Divisio	on			Liberal Program		rofessio	onal Studies		
Cross Listed Sections	AFRC2010910	Responses	10	Depar	Department Subject		Sociology Sociology						
		Response Rate	71%	Subje									
		Average Ratings					Responses						
Question and Sca	ıle	Instructor	Section	Course	-	0	1	2	3	4			
1 Overall quality of Scale: 0 to 4: Poor,	the instructor. Fair, Good, Very good, Excellent	3.40	3.40	3.40	-	0% 0	0% 0	20% 2	20% 2	60% 6	10		
2 Overall quality of Scale: 0 to 4: Poor,	the course. Fair, Good, Very good, Excellent	3.30	3.30	3.30	-	0% 0	0% 0	20% 2	30% 3	50% 5	10		
	the TA(s) if applicable. Fair, Good, Very good, Excellent, N/A,	2.00	2.00	2.00	-	0% 0	0% 0	100% 1	0% 0	0% 0	1		
	early communicated the subject matter. In any subject matter of the subject matter of the subject matter. In any subject matter of the subject matter of the subject matter. In any subject matter of the subject matter.	3.56 ee,	3.56	3.56	-	0% 0	0% 0	0% 0	44% 4	56% 5	9		
	ectively stimulated my interest. ngly disagree, Disagree, Neither agree nor disagre rree	3.33 ee,	3.33	3.33	-	0% 0	0% 0	11% 1	44% 4	44% 4	9		
time.	vas appropriately accessible outside of classingly disagree, Disagree, Neither agree nor disagreeree		3.89	3.89	-	0% 0	0% 0	0% 0	11% 1	89% 8	9		
factual knowledge	ing this course, I have a better understanding e, principles and/or theories in this area. ngly disagree, Disagree, Neither agree nor disagre tree		3.56	3.56	-	0% 0	0% 0	0% 0	44% 4	56% 5	9		
problems and/or t	ngly disagree, Disagree, Neither agree nor disagre		3.44	3.44	-	0% 0	0% 0	0% 0	56% 5	44% 4	9		
answers question	ngly disagree, Disagree, Neither agree nor disagre		3.44	3.44	-	0% 0	0% 0	0% 0	56% 5	44% 4	9		



Print date: September 6, 2023

University of Pennsylvania · Instructor and Course Evaluation Report

SOCI2010910, Social Statistics, Summer, 2023 Feng, Kai This Instructor Only **Average Ratings** Worst Rating...Best Rating Responses **Question and Scale** Instructor Section Course 0 1 2 3 4 10 This course challenged me to consider new ideas, concepts, or 3.22 0% 0% 22% 33% 44% 3.22 3.22 wavs of thinking. 0 0 2 3 4 9 Scale: 0 to 4: Strongly disagree, Disagree, Neither agree nor disagree, Agree, Strongly agree 11 As a result of taking this course, I am more excited by this field 3.44 3.44 0% 0% 11% 33% 56% 0 0 1 3 5 9 of study. Scale: 0 to 4: Strongly disagree, Disagree, Neither agree nor disagree, Agree, Strongly agree 12 Please rate the difficulty of the course. 1.78 1.78 1.78 67% 11% 0% 11% 11% Scale: 0 to 4: Easy 0. 1. 2. 3. Difficult 4 9 1 1 6 1 0 13 Please rate the amount of work required for this course. 1.67 1.67 1.67 11% 22% 56% 11% 0% Scale: 0 to 4: Very Little 0, 1, 2, 3, Very Much 4 1 2 5 0 9 14 To your knowledge, has there been cheating in this course? 1.00 0% 100% 0 9 9 Scale: 0 to 1: Y, N



University of Pennsylvania · Instructor and Course Evaluation Report

SOCI2010910, Social Statistics, Summer, 2023

Feng, Kai

Comment Suggestion

Print date: September 6, 2023

Kai Feng was a fantastic professor. I would highly recommend any class with him. He had great communication skills (so quick to respond on Ed!), was eager to help, and was very knowledgeable every time question was posed.

Really stimulated my interest in coding and data analytics!